



INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For May 2008

Region 08 May 2008



**Published
June 2008**



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 8	163	136	83.44%
Clay	10	10	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Clay County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 8	163	136	83.44%
Parke	5	5	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Parke County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 8	163	136	83.44%
Sullivan	26	19	73.08%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Sullivan County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 8	163	136	83.44%
Vermillion	12	10	83.33%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Vermillion County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 8	163	136	83.44%
Vigo	110	92	83.64%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Vigo County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 8	264	3.56	63	4.21
Clay	24	3.38	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Clay County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 8	264	3.56	63	4.21
Parke	47	3.32	1	2.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Parke County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 8	264	3.56	63	4.21
Sullivan	28	2.25	17	5.59


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Sullivan County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 8	264	3.56	63	4.21
Vermillion	14	5.00	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Vermillion County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 8	264	3.56	63	4.21
Vigo	151	3.77	45	3.73


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



Vigo County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 8	20	4	20.00%	158	6	3.80%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%	0	0	NA

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 8 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Clay County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 8	20	4	20.00%	158	6	3.80%
Parke County	0	0	NA	4	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 8 Rates



Parke County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 8	20	4	20.00%	158	6	3.80%
Sullivan County	1	0	0.00%	20	3	15.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 8 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Sullivan County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 8	20	4	20.00%	158	6	3.80%
Vermillion County	0	0	NA	24	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 8 Rates



Vermillion County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occurring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 8	20	4	20.00%	158	6	3.80%
Vigo County	18	4	22.22%	110	3	2.73%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 8 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Vigo County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 8	82	50.3%	34	20.9%	21	12.9%	26	16.0%	618.41	360.69
Clay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2,855.41	2,855.41


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Clay County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 8	82	50.3%	34	20.9%	21	12.9%	26	16.0%	618.41	360.69
Parke	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	269.01	172.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Parke County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 8	82	50.3%	34	20.9%	21	12.9%	26	16.0%	618.41	360.69
Sullivan	7	50.0%	3	21.4%	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	663.37	395.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Sullivan County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 8	82	50.3%	34	20.9%	21	12.9%	26	16.0%	618.41	360.69
Vermillion	4	33.3%	7	58.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	411.59	424.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Vermillion County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 8	82	50.3%	34	20.9%	21	12.9%	26	16.0%	618.41	360.69
Vigo	68	51.5%	23	17.4%	19	14.4%	22	16.7%	626.08	345.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 8 Median Length



Vigo County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 8	36	28	77.78%	2.53
Clay County	0	0	NA	NA


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Clay County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 8	36	28	77.78%	2.53
Parke County	1	1	100.00%	2.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Parke County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 8	36	28	77.78%	2.53
Sullivan County	3	3	100.00%	2.33


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Sullivan County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 8	36	28	77.78%	2.53
Vermillion County	2	0	0.00%	2.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Vermillion County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 8	36	28	77.78%	2.53
Vigo County	30	24	80.00%	2.60


Statewide Percent Together



Region 8 Percent Together



Vigo County Percent Together








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 8	2.97
Clay	6.00








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 8	2.97
Parke	2.40








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 8	2.97
Sullivan	3.48








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 8	2.97
Vermillion	2.10








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 8	2.97
Vigo	2.99





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 8	163	115	70.55%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Clay County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 8	163	115	70.55%
Parke County	4	0	0.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Parke County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 8	163	115	70.55%
Sullivan County	14	6	42.86%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Sullivan County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS


For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 8	163	115	70.55%
Vermillion County	12	5	41.67%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Vermillion County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 8	163	115	70.55%
Vigo County	132	104	78.79%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



Vigo County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


CHINS Placements by County

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Clay County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


CHINS Placements by County

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Parke County	5	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Parke County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Sullivan County	33	19	57.58%	3	9.09%	9	27.27%	0	0.00%	2	6.06%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Sullivan County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Vermillion County	20	8	40.00%	1	5.00%	5	25.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Vermillion County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Vigo County	182	50	27.47%	21	11.54%	94	51.65%	15	8.24%	2	1.10%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



Vigo County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Clay	97	43.30%	10	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Clay County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Parke	30	16.67%	5	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Parke County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Sullivan	52	21.15%	30	30.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Sullivan County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Vermillion	22	9.09%	12	66.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Vermillion County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Vigo	377	4.24%	111	43.24%

Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Vigo County Percent Removal

